SPEED LETTER	REPLY REQUESTED			DATE 28 October 1970
	YES	X	NO	LETTER NO. PSG/AID-325/70

TO : Executive Director, NPIC	FROM: Deputy Chief, Automated Information
THRU: ATTN: Chief, Production Services Group	Division, PSG

1. I still have reservations regarding the ability of the Director of OCS to control ADP throughout the Agency. It seems to me that if he is charged with this responsibility, he is in the position of "responsibility without authority" since the other ADP components are embedded in the line organizations which they serve. Regarding planning and coordination, I agree with your statement.

2. I am still concerned about "project review". I am convinced that line management has to accept responsibility for the ADP projects which are designed to meet its requirements, rather than to pass that responsibility to an outside review board.

Julien

SIGNATURE

REPLY	DATE

Declass Review by
NIMA/DOD

SIGNATURE

SECRET

28 Oct '70

Executive Dir:
Comments on Aspin paper.

John:
As I noted in my comments to [ ] if the agency establishes an ADP advisor I feel he should operate out of a higher level than the Director of Computer Services. I view this person as the policy establisher & direction pointer for the agency. To do this I feel he should be higher than an office director & not a line director.

25X1

Steve J.

SECRET

Secret

28 Oct '70

John:

Just saw [ ] comments. 25X1
I also agree with her #2.
Project review comes with
management. It is my opinion
it should stay there & not
be handed to someone outside
the center. I feel we are in a
better position to say what
comes first.

S. Jo